

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address, COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bo. 1450 Alexand in Vigenia 22313-1450 www.pspa.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/634,116	08/04/2003	Kenneth Roger Jones	1033.SS00379	5754
60533 75	90 03/21/2006		EXAM	INER
TOLER SCHAFFER, LLP			BAYARD, DJENANE M	
5000 PLAZA O	N THE LAKES			
SUITE 265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
AUSTIN, TX 78746			2141	

DATE MAILED: 03/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Reexamination			
	10/634,116	JONES ET AL.			
	10/034,110	Art Unit			
	Rupal D. Dharia	2141			
Document Code - AP.PRE.DEC					
Notice of Panel De	cision from Pre-A	ppeal Brief Review			
This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brid	ef Request for Review filed 1/06	<u>6/06</u> .			
 Improper Request – The Requestor(s): 	uest is improper and a conferer	nce will not be held for the following			
 ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ☐ The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. ☐ Other: . 					
The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.					
held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month from the receipt of the notice	appeal because there is at leas in accordance with 37 CFR 41. om mailing this decision, or the of appeal, whichever is greate CFR 1.136 based upon the mail	Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been tone actual issue for appeal. Applicant 37. The time period for filing an appeal balance of the two-month time period r. Further, the time period for filing of the date of this decision or the receipt date			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6, 8-35</u> .		ollows:			
4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					
All participants: RUPAL DHARIA (1) Rapa D. Dharia PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER (3) Djenane Bayard. 2./3.					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6, 8-35. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time.					

(4)_____.

(2) Joseph Dixon.